IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2568 OF 2005

Krishnaji G. Walke and ors ...Petitioner
versus
The Gen.Manager, Indian Ordinance Factory .. Respondent.

Office Notes, Office Memorada) Orders or directions and) Registrar's orders.)	Court's or Judge's Orders.

Shri P.B.Shah with Smita Mane
for the petitioner.
Ms. P.H. Kantharia for the
respondents 1 to 4.

CORAM; V.G. PALSHIKAR &
D.B. BHOSALE, JJ.

DTAED; 22nd SEPT., 2005.

P.C.

1. By this petition, the petitioner claimed a direction to the respondent to settle the claim of the petitioner in terms of the order passed in W.P. 894 of 1996 on 19-11-2000. The petitioner has enclosed the order to this petition and the relevant part reads as under:

"The Collector shal
issue public notice to
all the concerned

landholder in the restricted zones within a period of 8 weeks from the date of his appointment. The collector shall thereafter hear the landholders including the petitioners and pass appropriate award in accordance with the provisions of section 12 preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt of the claims from the landholders."

2. From the above quoted order, it will be seen that it encompasses not only the petitioner in that petition but also the other concerned landholders in the restricted zones. Therefore the order would cover the claim of the petitioner also. Reserving the liberty to

seek action under that order before the Collector, this Petition is accordingly disposed of.

****